


Reports of Russia's Collapse Are Greatly Exaggerated

By [Dan Storyev](#)

June 02, 2026



Arthur Novosiltsev / Moskva News Agency

Russia is waging a war against its neighbor. Its economy is overheated and dependent on the continuing conflict, while the country is rapidly growing more authoritarian as political rights are further curtailed.

The date is not 2026, it's 1999. Or 2008. Or 2014. It doesn't matter. Each time, Russia did not collapse.

Russia has been presented — and indeed has presented itself — as a threat for the West for decades. There is even a persuasive [argument](#) that the West defines itself in turn through othering and fearing Russia.

Also for some decades, one could see headlines that Russia is either on the brink of collapse or is collapsing at any moment. A 2001 cover story in *The Atlantic* [proclaimed](#) that “Russia is

finished.” Recently, a new slew of arguments for Russia’s decline has been spritzed into the discourse, predicting the [collapse](#) of the Russian military or even a [coup](#) back in Moscow.

Whether these predictions come in the form of [articles](#), [videos](#) or entire [books](#), they have taken a largely uniform shape. They point out genuine faults in the bizarre structure of Russia’s economy, the Kremlin's politics, rampant corruption and inexorable population decline. They then make vague predictions about a return to the mayhem of the 1990s, a breakup of Russia along ethnic lines, total economic collapse or a brewing popular uprising.

The temptation to mock the collapse clairvoyance is strong. One could [easily](#) list all the objective reasons why Russia isn’t collapsing any time soon.

The country’s economy has proven surprisingly [resilient](#), able to withstand sanctions of historic proportions. While the Russian military is stuck in the blood and mud in Ukraine, it has repeatedly shown an ability to [adapt](#) rather than collapse.

Russia’s diplomacy, which is traditionally seen in the West as not much more than incoherent [gopnik](#) yelps, is making [headway](#) in the Global South where Russian state-affiliated media are [important players](#) and student exchange [programs](#) are in full swing.

Inside Russia, civilians live relatively normal lives and are likely not thinking of rising up with pitchforks against the Kremlin. Many of them enjoy new Hollywood releases, chic cafes and exhibitions. Yes, life continues even as Russian cities are bombed and the economy is slowing down.

Related article: [As the World Speculates, Here's What Russians Are Actually Talking About](#)

Regardless, predictions of Russia’s collapse rehashed repeatedly. What is more interesting is considering why this cottage industry exists. The answer is manifold: it has to do with education, fear and lack of imagination.

In the age of unprecedented geopolitical competition, it would be sensible for Western governments to invest in Russia studies departments at think tanks and universities. Yet, Western expertise on Russia is not enjoying its golden age, as many such departments are [shutting down](#) or getting defunded, while think tanks are plagued by management and [financial](#) issues.

Russia has also become increasingly more isolated from Western researchers, a trend that [began](#) in 2014 and only [got worse](#) in 2022. Institutions on both sides broke contact — Russian institutions came out in support of Putin’s invasion of Ukraine (whether willingly or not), which Western institutions could not let slide.

This resulted in the status quo where many Western Russianists were de facto (and often [officially](#)) banned from the country they study. Very few experts nowadays can safely travel to Russia and see things for themselves.

Moscow, for its part, [discourages](#) Russian officials and experts from speaking to Westerners. Western institutions — such as Yale University — have been designated legally “undesirable,” meaning that any interaction with them could lead to criminal prosecution. A

knowledge iron curtain is actively being constructed by Moscow.

This is not to say that the Western political atmosphere is conducive to free and open discourse. Those who advocate for studying Russia more thoroughly run the risk of being [branded](#) as Kremlin stooges. Meanwhile, the exiled Russian academics who manage to make it to the West are often [deplatformed](#) and [ostracized](#) not necessarily for their political stance as much as for being Russian.

As a result exiled Russian [experts](#) that might have the ear of Western politicians are often outright anti-Kremlin activists. No wonder, since they saw their country being trampled into authoritarianism by Putin and his posse.

But their careers, in many cases, effectively [depend](#) on a collapse of Putinism — and perhaps Russia with it — within their lifetimes. Not much is left for the underfunded, disunited and depressed Russian opposition in exile except hope, which, as Russians say, “dies last.”

It leads to [assertions](#) about the inevitable collapse of Putin’s regime. In reality, of course, the exiles’ plans for an end to Putin’s regime are limited to finding a window of opportunity. Rational analysis is tainted by political objectives.

The West is thus discouraged from learning about Russia, while Russian intellectuals are discouraged from helping the West learn about Russia. This creates fertile ground for baseless speculations and wishful thinking about a nearing collapse.

Related article: [To Be Truly Democratic, Russia’s Opposition Must Learn to Accept Scrutiny](#)

Where Western governments are still interested in funding Russia studies, they are bound to tie this funding to defense, further securitizing the discipline.

As a result, there is not enough expertise on the region beyond viewing Russia as an adversary — creating [incentives](#) for analyses focused on finding cracks in the Kremlin regime and potentially talking themselves into believing that these cracks are deadly.

This is also emblematic of a key vulnerability of the Western intellectual scene — a general [inability and unwillingness](#) to conceive of a sustainable alternative model to capitalist liberal democracy.

To those who still like to think that they live at the End of History the continuing existence of Moscow is [anathema](#) because it threatens the very core of their worldview. So is acknowledging that Russia can be successful in some respects. To accept that Russia can exist and even occasionally punch above its weight in challenging the West, whether through black ops in Africa or meddling in Europe, is to contend with the idea that the liberal democratic model is not the only logical conclusion for every country in the world.

To add insult to injury, while Western economies remain the most prosperous on the planet, they often [don’t feel](#) this way to average citizens who are often anxious, underpaid and unfulfilled, fueling hard-right populism. Against such a backdrop, Russia offers a shiny facade to Western audiences and [influencers](#) like Tucker Carlson or Candace Owens willing to eat it up.

However, what effect might these things have on policy? Presumably, quite a lot. If expertise on Russia is laced with unfounded predictions of its imminent collapse, then it is no wonder that Western attempts to impact Russian society are largely in vain. Sanctions have not crashed the country's economy and provoked mass uprisings — nor did [calls](#) from Western leaders lead to a popular anti-Putin revolution.

The predictions of imminent collapse might also lead the West into odd places. Take the 2022-23 boom of discussing the “decolonization” of Russia, which inspired conferences and speakers across Western capitals and respectable institutions. Grant-givers, eager for new ideas, made it rain for activists who were savvy enough to promise a rapid breakdown of the country.

Related article: [No, ‘Russia’s Resistance Leader’ Won’t Bring Down Putin](#)

There’s a Russian saying, “don’t divide the hide of a bear not yet killed,” that warns against making plans based on a positive outcome that may never happen. It is a warning that fell on deaf ears as activists promoted a fantasy of Russia breaking up into city states and ethnic republics, blending both right-wing and liberal fantasies.

Western policymakers left with little option but to talk to decolonial activists or exiled politicians will find that they do not represent any sizable movement within Russia. With access to Russia restricted and remaining avenues for dialogue discouraged, it’s no wonder that Cassandras keep getting things wrong.

Consequently, it is easier to just shrug off Russia and say that it is collapsing anyway. In the meantime, Moscow is going to continue building inroads in the Global South while its soldiers are killing hundreds of thousands in the heart of Europe.

The views expressed in opinion pieces do not necessarily reflect the position of The Moscow Times.

Original url:

<https://www.themoscowtimes.com/2026/06/02/reports-of-russias-collapse-are-greatly-exaggerated-a92903>