

What Does Regime Change in Syria Mean for Russian-Turkish Relations?

By Dimitar Bechev

December 19, 2024



Russia's President Vladimir Putin (R) and Turkey's President Recep Tayyip Erdogan shake hands during a meeting on the sidelines of the 2024 BRICS Summit in Kazan. **Anatoly Medved / brics-russia2024.ru**

Russia has reportedly asked Turkey for assistance in withdrawing its troops from Syria. Mere weeks ago, such a headline would have been hard to imagine. Yet in the wake of the jaw-dropping collapse of Bashar al-Assad's regime, the <u>report</u> by CNN Türk has a credible ring to it.

Russian troops stationed in various parts of Syria, such as the central desert and the Kurdish-populated northeast, appear to have returned to Russia's military bases in Latakia province, and according to GUR, Ukraine's military intelligence, Russia has been airlifting military personnel and equipment back home.

The <u>fate</u> of those bases — Russia's Khmeimim airfield and naval facility at Tartus — is a matter of speculation. The Russians may well have no choice but to abandon them, unless

they are able to secure a deal with Hayat Tahrir al-Sham (HTS) allowing them to stay on. Russian media have overnight <u>rebranded</u> the Islamist militia that toppled Assad from "terrorists" to "armed Syrian opposition."

Whatever scenario materializes, one thing is beyond doubt. The power dynamic between Russia and Türkiye has shifted. Now Ankara clearly has the upper hand.

This is a sharp turnaround from the previous state of affairs. When Russia intervened in Syria together with Iran and Hezbollah, they thwarted Türkiye's ambitions to orchestrate regime change in Damascus.

Related article: From Assad's Backer to His Sanctuary, Russia Has Been Humiliated

The Syrian deployment was just one part of the puzzle, as Russian President Vladimir Putin piled geopolitical pressure on his Turkish counterpart, Recep Tayyip Erdoğan. After the Turkish air force shot down a Russian Su-24 ground attack jet in November 2015, Russia imposed economic sanctions against Turkey, thereby further tightening the screws. At the same time, Russia built up its presence in Armenia — a country hostile to Turkey — and the militarization of annexed Crimea, described by Putin as an "unsinkable aircraft carrier" just across the Black Sea from Turkey, continued apace.

Erdoğan and Turkish policymakers more broadly were jittery. They felt vulnerable with regard to Russia, yet did not trust the West either. The U.S. partnership with the Syrian Kurds affiliated with the Kurdistan Workers' Party (PKK), outlawed in Turkey and the EU (and the United States too), was—and still is—a particularly acute irritant.

For that reason, Erdoğan reconciled himself with Assad's survival in power, along with Russia's entrenchment south of Turkey's border. In return, Türkiye obtained a buffer zone in Syria and a seat—alongside the Russians and Iranians—in the Astana process aimed at resolving the conflict in Syria.

Occasionally the deal was tested, such as during the 2019–2020 regime onslaught against Idlib province, the most significant pocket of land the anti-Assad forces controlled at that point. A Turkish intervention headed off the offensive, which had been backed by Russian troops.

Overall, however, the equilibrium between Moscow and Ankara proved stable. Both parties learned to coexist on the ground and even do each other favors. As recently as this summer, Putin was calling on Assad to engage with Erdoğan at a time when the Turkish president was keen to strike a deal with Damascus on repatriating at least some of the <u>3.9 million</u> Syrian refugees in Turkey.

Related article: Why Is Slovakia's Prime Minister Cozying Up to Putin?

Now that Russia has largely lost Syria, a large chunk of its leverage over Türkiye is gone too. Ankara's interlocutor is no longer Assad but HTS, with which it has a history of cooperation. Instead of Putin, Erdoğan must talk to Donald Trump—because of the U.S. sponsorship of the Kurdish-dominated Syrian Democratic Forces (SDF) that controls much of northeastern Syria—as well as to the Gulf countries and possibly the EU, which will be supporting the country's reconstruction.

Russia's stock vis-a-vis Turkey is down on other flanks too. The Ukrainian military has driven the Russian Black Sea fleet out of Crimea to the port of Novorossiysk, meaning Ankara now has less reason to fear the sea turning into a "Russian lake" — a phrase Erdoğan himself used in 2016 at a NATO meeting.

Moscow's relations with Armenia, meanwhile, are in <u>crisis</u> as a result of Azerbaijan's success in the <u>Karabakh war</u>. Yerevan has frozen its participation in the Moscow-led Collective Security Treaty Organization (CSTO) and looked to the West for defense contracts, a reflection of its disappointment with Russia's failure to protect its ally. The Armenian government has <u>started</u> talks on normalizing relations with Turkey in parallel with the negotiations on a peace deal with Azerbaijan. Though no breakthrough is yet in sight, Ankara appears to be making gains in the South Caucasus—at Russia's expense.

Turkey is often seen as dependent on Russian energy supplies and therefore susceptible to strongarm tactics. Now that might be changing too. With Gazprom's transit contract with Ukraine's Naftogaz expiring on January 1, TurkStream will be the only pipeline export route from Russia to the rest of Europe.

Turkey will use its role as a conduit to extract better terms from the Russian side, <u>including</u> the ability to buy extra volumes of Russian gas to reexport (and mark up) as its own: a crucial part of the conversation around establishing a "<u>gas hub</u>" on Turkish soil.

With Syria out of the picture, Russian-Turkish relations will pivot back to their natural geographic fulcrum: the Black Sea region. Erdoğan's choice will not be to take a risk and challenge Russia. Rather, he will continue to act as an intermediary between Putin and the West. Prospective negotiations on Ukraine spearheaded by Trump could be a golden opportunity.

Erdoğan may even remind the Kremlin of the lessons of Assad's hubris: that by shunning Turkey, the former strongman sealed his own fate, and that a political deal is better than an open-ended conflict.

This article was orignally published in Carnegie Politika.

The views expressed in opinion pieces do not necessarily reflect the position of The Moscow

Times.

Original url:

https://www.themoscowtimes.com/2024/12/19/what-does-regime-change-in-syria-mean-for-russian-tur kish-relations-a87391