

Navalnaya's Decolonization Critique Proves That Russia's Liberal Opposition Hasn't Been Listening to Indigenous Voices

By [Gulnara Shuraleeva](#)

September 06, 2024



Yulia Navalnaya. **Bled Strategic Forum**

In a speech at the Bled Strategic Forum in Slovenia this week, Yulia Navalnaya, the widow of Russian opposition politician Alexei Navalny, [discussed](#) the need for European countries to develop a cohesive strategy regarding Russia.

While her speech largely tread familiar ground, reaffirming her calls to support Russian civil society and its quest for a democratic Russia, one part in particular sparked significant debate on Russian-speaking social media:

“Finally, there are those who advocate for the urgent ‘decolonization’ of Russia, arguing to split our vast country into several smaller, safer states. However, these ‘decolonizers’ can’t

explain why people with shared backgrounds and culture should be artificially divided. Nor do they say how this process should even take place.”

After Team Navalny translated Navalnaya’s speech into Russian and posted it on social media, it caught the attention of some ethnic and decolonial activists, who found it rather insulting. Ironically, many of these people — academics, writers, bloggers, artists, independent journalists and simply anyone who cares about the issue — have spent much of the past three years [explaining](#) through their diverse range of [work](#) what decolonization [means](#), why the right of self-determination of peoples [matters](#), and how and why decolonization is [important](#) for the future.

This short passage in Navalnaya’s speech once again proves a point that has been made many times already: Navalny’s team, one of the most powerful and famous organizations opposing Putin, does not want to listen to the Indigenous peoples of Russia, whose perspective confronts them with an inconvenient and unpleasant truth.

There are several reasons why this message was insulting to Indigenous communities. Kremlin propaganda frequently [deploys](#) the trope that “decolonizers are separatists who want to tear our country apart and wreak havoc.” Putin’s regime [kills](#) and [kidnaps](#) activists from the North Caucasus, prosecutes and jails Indigenous spiritual [leaders](#) and labels ethnic cultural [organizations](#) as “extremists,” painting all of these actions as a struggle against “evil and dangerous separatists.” Navalnaya’s speech aligns with that fear-mongering very well.

Team Navalny managed to make Navalnaya’s statement even more scandalous when they translated it into Russian. Whether it was a genuine mistake or not, the translated phrase published on their official social media [account](#) reads not as “There are those who advocate for the urgent decolonization of Russia,” but as “We will find those who speak about the necessity to urgently decolonize Russia.”

To many, this sounded like a threat, especially in light of the Russian state’s [repressions](#). Some even [pointed out](#) the resemblance of Navalnaya’s translated comments with Putin’s infamous vow to “pursue the terrorists everywhere [and] whack them in the toilets” in 1999 amid Moscow’s brutal [bombings of Grozny](#) in Chechnya.

At the time this article was written, there were thousands of comments under the Instagram post, many of which expressed disappointment and outrage: “Yulia, the shared background that we Caucasians have with you is the fact that you are historically our occupier”; “We natives of the national republics didn’t ask you anything, Yulia. Do whatever you want in Muscovy. We will decide what we want for ourselves on our lands.”

Related article: [In Russia, Indigenous Activism Is an ‘Extremist’ Affair](#)

It’s worth noting that following Alexei Navalny’s murder, Navalnaya represents not just herself but the broader political entity of Team Navalny and the Anti-Corruption Foundation. Her public speeches are likely the result of collective deliberation, and are not necessarily her personal opinion. This raises the question: what is the purpose of the anti-decolonization message, and who is its target audience?

By speaking critically of decolonial movements, Navalnaya is likely appealing to certain segments of Western liberal politicians and audiences who hold right-leaning views and generally support anti-Indigenous sentiments. That sentiment in Russia rhymes very well with those held by right-leaning Europeans, including anti-immigration movements, white supremacy and the subtler but still racist idea of the contradiction between the “enlightened West” and the “barbaric and exotic East.”

The Russian translation of Navalnaya’s speech likely resonates with members of the Russian liberal opposition from Moscow and St. Petersburg, usually from the middle class and the “intelligentsia.” These people, who believe in a “European future” for Russia, tend to ignore the centuries of exploitation and [extractivism](#) of Russia’s regions and ethnic republics that made Moscow and central Russia prosperous.

In addition to the Moscow anti-Putin liberals, the Russian “liberal” oligarchy and elites are another possible target for Navalnaya’s message. Russian oligarchs fear the idea that “decolonizers” can “take power,” because this would undermine the extractivist [structures](#) that sustain their wealth.

It appears that Team Navalny is more interested in preserving the status quo than in engaging in a meaningful dialogue with the millions of people who live in Russia’s regions and ethnic republics. It is hard to interpret Navalnaya’s speech any other way.

From our point of view as Indigenous activists, it is strange at the very least to insist on the “Europeanization” of Russia when half of it lies in the North Caucasus and Asia and is populated by Caucasian and Asian peoples. Our unique and diverse cultures, as well as our histories of colonization and exploitation by Moscow, cannot be ignored by anyone who speaks about changes for the better.

Despite the propaganda narrative, there is no organized political structure of “decolonizers with an agenda.” There is also no direct link between the [ideas](#) of decolonization and separatism. For instance, there are Indigenous activists on the political left who would argue they do not support the concept of the nation-state as the ideal model for the future.

But most importantly, there are a lot of people who are simply very tired of the war and the exploitation Moscow has been carrying out against them and their land for centuries, and these people want to discuss an alternative way forward.

For now, all we are seeing is proof that there is no chance for such dialogue between us and liberals from Moscow. So the Indigenous peoples of Russia will speak with each other, and we will decide what future we want for ourselves.

The views expressed in opinion pieces do not necessarily reflect the position of The Moscow Times.

Original url:

<https://www.themoscowtimes.com/2024/09/06/navalnayas-decolonization-critique-proves-that-russias-liberal-opposition-hasnt-been-listening-to-indigenous-voices-a86280>