

Standing By or Choosing Sides: Wagner Mutiny Deepens Divides in Russian Opposition

By [Leyla Latypova](#)

July 05, 2023



Russian opposition activist and businessman Mikhail Khodorkovsky. **Oliver Hoslet / EPA / TASS**

The Wagner mercenary group's rebellion against Russia's military leadership has further split Russia's anti-Kremlin opposition, which is already largely [divided](#) over a litany of issues and geographically scattered in exile.

Mikhail Khodorkovsky, an exiled former oil tycoon turned opposition figure, swiftly emerged as the most vocal of Prigozhin's unlikely supporters, while allies of jailed Kremlin critic Alexei Navalny repeatedly cautioned their followers against pledging allegiance to either side.

"Prigozhin repeated word for word what we, the anti-war opposition, have been saying since the start of the war: the goal of the war is barbarism and the official reason for the war...is garbage that no one believes," Khodorkovsky [wrote](#) on his social media in the early hours of

the rebellion.

“The way we can help our country right now is by helping people to hear Prigozhin while he is speaking the truth,” Khodorkovsky added, advising his supporters to stand in the way of those attempting to halt Wagner’s “march of justice” on Moscow.

Khodorkovsky, who has over 230,000 followers on Telegram and over 608,000 on Instagram, later [urged](#) his followers to “arm themselves,” noting that the rebellion “proved that only armed people can stand up to dictatorship.”

His statements would become a lightning rod of criticism from other members of the opposition.

“This isn’t serious and is nothing more than a search for some magician who will fly in...and rid us of Putin. It is all the more strange to hear this from Khodorkovsky,” opposition politician Vladimir Milov [said](#) in an interview with the news outlet Republic.

Related article: [The Problem With a Kremlin Critic's Call to Support the Wagner Mutiny](#)

But the most vocal criticism of the opposition figures who chose to back Prigozhin came from Feminist Anti-War Resistance (FAR), Russia’s largest grassroots anti-war movement.

“Why did they have a desire to join one of the rotten sides of this conflict?” FAR member Sasha Talaver [wrote](#) in a post on the group’s official social media.

“I believe there are two reasons: limited political imagination and substantive emptiness of [their] political projects.”

Talaver stressed that opposition figures like Khodorkovsky remained indifferent to the danger the rebellion posed to civilians in the affected regions amid their “thoughts about Russia after Putin.”

Khodorkovsky’s stance, meanwhile, was [echoed](#) by Denis Nikitin, the [neo-Nazi](#) leader of the Russian Volunteer Corps, a far-right paramilitary unit of Russian citizens fighting against Moscow in the war in Ukraine. The group was one of the leading forces behind the May [incursion](#) into Russia’s Belgorod region.

Ilya Ponomaryov, a public supporter of another Ukraine-based anti-Kremlin Russian battalion, the Freedom of Russia Legion, also [applauded](#) the “conclusions” made by Khodorkovsky but warned that he should be “more selective when choosing allies.”

“[Our position] is to build our own liberation army...work with partisan formations within Russia [and] build up our own political opposition wing,” said Ponomaryov, a former Russian State Duma deputy now based in Kyiv.

Related article: [Russians Appeared to Welcome Wagner Rebels With Open Arms. The Truth Is More Complex.](#)

Ponomarev also stressed that he didn't back either side in the conflict, which he labeled as a "[collusion](#) aimed at preserving Putin's regime."

Observers were quick to [identify](#) the notes of political opportunism in Khodorkovsky's rushed, emotional statements. Many also agreed that the outcome of Wagner's rebellion amounted to a grave miscalculation on the side of the ex-oil tycoon.

"I think most saw it either as a mistake or as a misunderstanding of the situation," political analyst Anton Barbashin told The Moscow Times.

"It doesn't mean anything good for Khodorkovsky. At least from the commentary made by other opposition figures...[it is clear that] no one was impressed with his initiative."

But Khodorkovsky, who is based in London, remained firm in his position even after a growing backlash from fellow opposition members, going so far as to [labeling](#) his critics "harmful impotents."

Meanwhile, the director of Alexei Navalny's Anti-Corruption Foundation (FBK) Ivan Zhdanov warned followers that the fight between its two adversaries Putin and Prigozhin would bring "little benefit" for the movement.

"Both the Russian leadership and Prigozhin are our enemies, just like all other bandits," Zhdanov [said](#) on Telegram early on June 24.

Related article: [Wagner Mutiny Stirs Panic, Uncertainty and Hope for Change in Russia's Ethnic Republics](#)

Navalny himself only learned about the Wagner mutiny post-factum, as the vocal Kremlin critic's penal colony has been keeping him in a state of informational isolation.

"When lawyers in the 'courtroom' asked me 'how did the martial law go for you?' I thought it was some kind of new joke or a meme," Navalny [wrote](#) in a letter from prison, referring to the hearing in the ongoing trial that could see him sentenced to an additional 30 years in prison.

In the message published across his social media platforms, Navalny stressed that the responsibility for the aborted mutiny — which is [believed](#) to have led to the deaths of at least 13 people — lies entirely on Putin, who allowed Wagner's rise.

"Putin's regime is so dangerous to the country that even its inevitable collapse would create the threat of a civil war," said the veteran Kremlin critic.

"The fact that Putin's war could ruin and disintegrate Russia is no longer a dramatic exclamation."

Original url:

<https://www.themoscowtimes.com/2023/07/05/standing-by-or-choosing-sides-wagner-mutiny-deepens-divides-in-russian-opposition-a81732>