

Putin's Tactic of Inaction Could Backfire at Home

By [Tatiana Stanovaya](#)

May 26, 2023



Vladimir Putin at a plenary session of the Eurasian Economic Forum. **Grigory Sysoyev, RIA Novosti / kremlin.ru**

Nothing is happening in Russia. At least, that's the impression given by Vladimir Putin over the past six months.

On some level, the president has been extremely active, secretly [micromanaging](#) the war effort and publicly pretending to be dealing with routine matters from meetings on the economy to the [launch](#) of a tram line in the occupied Ukrainian city of Mariupol. Yet there are no presidential initiatives in the works for adapting the country to the new wartime reality and all that it involves.

Putin has stubbornly remained disengaged in this sense, despite drone strikes on the Kremlin, mercenary boss Yevgeny Prigozhin's crusade against the Defense Ministry, and even Ukraine's looming counteroffensive. He prefers to give lectures on history and offer

optimistic assessments of Russia's economic prospects — and pessimistic ones of the West's.

This doesn't mean, of course, that there really is nothing happening in Russia: quite the contrary. But what is happening has far less to do with the president's plans or strategic interests than it does with the corporate interests of individual departments and figures. What is happening is largely a response to the worsening conditions facing Russia.

Take the digitization of Russia's system for issuing conscription notices, a move forced by the difficulties surrounding conscription during a war that is not going according to plan. Or how repression has [deepened](#), in an attempt at self-preservation by the system amid fast-growing geopolitical risks and fears of defeat.

Repressive inertia and self-aggrandizement by major institutions such as the FSB and the defense and finance ministries have driven many recent decisions, including the return of ideology. Justice Minister Konstantin Chuichenko has [spoken](#) openly about the possibility of introducing a new official ideology that would extend to education, cinema, theater, and poetry. This process has long since ceased to be under Putin's direct control and is now developing independently of him, albeit with his passive consent.

Related article: [What Do Russians Think of Yevgeny Prigozhin?](#)

Here and in other important debates, Putin's voice is absent. Should Russia's borders be closed? Should those who have already left have their rights restricted? Who is to be exempted from mobilization? How are those designated as "foreign agents" by the state to be punished? What should be done about Prigozhin? How should the country respond to incidents like drone strikes and attempts to assassinate "ultra-patriots"?

The positions of parliamentarians, party leaders, cabinet ministers, military bloggers and the security services on these and other matters are all well known. Yet Putin says nothing, intervening only to take steps such as retreating from the key Ukrainian city of Kherson, suspending Russia's participation in the New START nuclear agreement, or pulling out of the Treaty on Conventional Armed Forces in Europe. Even in his long-awaited [address](#) to the Federal Assembly, he merely listed measures already taken by the government.

Today, Putin is just about the only person in Russia who is not increasingly engaged in politics, from former president Dmitry Medvedev, State Duma speaker Vyacheslav Volodin, and Security Council head Nikolai Patrushev to Prigozhin, the war bloggers, and television hosts. It is as though the president has recused himself, devoting himself to secret military and geopolitical matters, the details of which are known to few.

This is not a sign of fear or weakness. Rather, it reflects Putin's growing messiah complex. At present, literally all his political hopes and plans hinge on external changes that are out of his control. Putin has no instruments or resources with which to change the situation in his favor. Yet he believes that the world will change all the same and deliver him Kyiv's capitulation.

Related article: [Explainer: Who Are Russia's Pro-War Bloggers And Why Are They Important?](#)

Putin's plan is to wait out what he sees as the inevitable transformation of the West and Ukraine. Any fear of a Ukrainian counteroffensive has given way to the conviction that little will change on the battlefield, beyond minor setbacks that he is prepared to tolerate. The calculation in the Kremlin is that absent a military breakthrough, Ukraine's elite will fracture, leading to the emergence of a "party of peace" (i.e., capitulation), while in the West, internal divisions will force cuts to military and political support for Kyiv.

Putin's hopes cannot be dismissed as completely baseless, but his problem is that this approach is anathema to Russia's restless political class. For all its loyalty and pliability, it has evolved dramatically during the war. These days, Russia's elites are liable to see defeatism in inaction.

All of this creates the conditions for the political ambitions of parastatal actors to soar. Despite their reputation for being instruments of the Kremlin, they are gradually building political capital and may one day run out of patience with the regime and challenge it. Already, Putin is struggling to explain what exactly he is waiting for.

In the first months of the war, many took notice of how the once-marginal pro-war "ultra-patriots" had matured politically and come to dominate the information space. Today, the officious hawks, such as Medvedev, Volodin, and Patrushev, are losing their place in Russian politics to the angry patriots, including Prigozhin, former Donbas commander Igor Strelkov, and the war bloggers. The former seem like opportunists and armchair generals, while the latter, having emerged in combat conditions, look much more like the real thing.

The regime is not under threat so long as Putin's ratings remain stable, and besides, the levers of power are still completely under his control. Yet his public paralysis and refusal to assume responsibility for the resolution of the most pressing problems facing Russia cannot but render him and his courtiers politically irrelevant and create a vacuum to be filled by the ultra-patriots. The day may come when Putin finds himself dependent on a once harmless bunch made dangerous by his opacity and inaction.

This article was originally [published](#) by The Carnegie Endowment for International Peace.

The views expressed in opinion pieces do not necessarily reflect the position of The Moscow Times.

Original url:

<https://www.themoscowtimes.com/2023/05/26/putins-tactic-of-inaction-could-backfire-at-home-a81283>