

The Scramble for Power in Kyrgyzstan

By [Alexander Gabuev](#) and [Temur Umarov](#)

October 08, 2020

The situation in Kyrgyzstan is in some ways similar to the last two revolutions. At the heart of it is not the revolt of a liberal opposition against a corrupt regime, but a brutal fight for power between regional and tribal groups disguised as political parties. The difference this time is the reluctance of world and regional powers to get involved.



Vyacheslav Oseledko / AFP

Kyrgyzstan is seeing its biggest protests in a decade, which could become the prologue to the third revolution there in fifteen years. In just one night, President Sooronbay Jeenbekov lost much of his authority, though formally he retains his position. Meanwhile, his bitter enemy, former president Almazbek Atambayev, is out of prison and back in the game, as are many other players. Behind the current chaos and endless power grabs runs the central nerve of Kyrgyz politics in recent years: the battle for power between regional groupings masquerading as political parties.

Thousands of people took to the streets of the capital Bishkek on October 5 to protest the results of the parliamentary elections and demand new elections. That night, protesters

seized Bishkek's White House, home to both the Kyrgyz parliament and the presidential administration. The crowd then went on to the National Security Committee building and freed ex-president Atambayev and other high-ranking former officials from a jail inside.

Jeenbekov, who has been president of Kyrgyzstan since 2017, is now in an [unknown](#) location. The day after he effectively lost his grip on the country, the electoral commission annulled the results of the vote, but protests against a wide range of problems, from electoral fraud to local corruption, continue to take place in many cities.

In the meantime, politicians, former and current officials, security service officers, businessmen, and crime bosses are garnering supporters and holding talks with one another on future alliances. Power in Kyrgyzstan, which underwent revolutions in both 2005 and 2010, has shattered into a multitude of pieces.

Related article: [‘The People Captured Power Themselves’: Kyrgyzstan Cleans Up After Night of Chaos](#)

The recent elections had been greatly anticipated, since people's trust in the last parliament was very low. Of the sixteen parties that ran in the election on October, 4, only four were [announced](#) to have won seats in parliament, all of which represent the interests of groups that could be described as southern. One of them is [controlled](#) by President Jeenbekov's brother, and another by the influential Matraimov family that has its power base in Osh, the largest city in the south of Kyrgyzstan and hometown of the Jeenbekov clan. This comprehensive victory for the southern interest groups — together with numerous reports of rigged voting and the abuse of government resources — outraged the country's north, and not just the general public there, but specific, well-organized groups representing the northern regions, hence the swift mobilization of protesters in Bishkek in the country's north.

Kyrgyzstan may officially have political parties, but in reality, each party is a facade for a particular group formed around influential leaders and united by regional and family ties, among other informal connections. These groups can roughly be divided into those representing the north and those representing the south, although various groups from these broader regions may sometimes fight among themselves. Any politician, major businessman, or crime boss relies first and foremost on relatives and people from the same or neighboring regions. The long-running battle between the northern and southern elites has been the main conflict in Kyrgyz politics for at least the last two decades.

President Atambayev, who represented the north and was in charge in 2011-2017, tried to strike a balance between the regional groups, and appointed a southerner — Jeenbekov — as his successor. But when his protege turned out to be far less compliant than Atambayev had expected, the two fell out, culminating in the [dramatic arrest](#) of Atambayev in 2019 and his subsequent sentencing to eleven years in prison on corruption charges, together with his key allies.

Related article: [Kyrgyz Protesters Take Government House, Free Ex-Leader After Post-Vote Clashes](#)

The fate of Atambayev, coupled with the election results, created the impression for many that the president was determined to consolidate all the country's power and finances in the hands of his own clan and other southern allies.

There could not have been a worse moment to manipulate the election results. Tensions have been running high in Kyrgyz society for several months now due to the new coronavirus pandemic and its economic fallout, which the government has not dealt with well. News of [hospital bed shortages](#), the [misappropriation](#) of international funding to fight the disease, and [unscheduled holidays](#) by members of the government had already brought the public to boiling point.

The World Bank forecasts that Kyrgyzstan's GDP will fall by four percent this year. Remittances from Kyrgyz nationals working in Russia [plummeted](#) by 62 percent in April compared with the previous year as Russia went into lockdown, and most working-age people in Kyrgyzstan have no savings.

Against this backdrop, the confrontation between Jeenbekov and Atambayev's supporters (and many other enemy groups) is just one aspect of a war that pitches everyone against everyone else. There are individual battles going on for just about every post and asset in the country.

The most optimistic scenario is new parliamentary elections that will see the election of a more representative range of deputies from all the main regional groups. A less optimistic but so far much more realistic scenario is a drawn-out conflict of everyone against everyone else.

The current situation is in many ways similar to the last two revolutions, but there are important differences. One of those is the reluctance of world and regional powers to get involved. Moscow, which possesses the most effective tools to influence the situation and played an active role in the 2010 revolution against then-president Kurmanbek Bakiyev, was apparently caught unawares by the upheaval in its neighboring ally, and in any case is preoccupied with the pandemic, the crisis in [Belarus](#), the war in [Nagorno-Karabakh](#), its dispute with EU leaders over the [poisoning](#) of Alexei Navalny, and the upcoming U.S. presidential election. Furthermore, all the main contenders for power in Kyrgyzstan are well known to Russian officials and intelligence services, and none are deemed unacceptable. Moscow will not take sides, as it is ready to work with whoever wins the domestic battle.

Just as telling is the caution being shown by China, which many observers have prematurely chalked up as the main external power in Central Asia. Despite its [growing economic presence](#) in the region, and particularly in Kyrgyzstan (which owes 43 percent of its \$4.7 billion foreign debt to Chinese financial institutions), Beijing [doesn't yet know](#) how to convert it into political leverage. China has said very little on the recent developments. The defeat of the Matraimov family, which China has [courted](#) for some years now, would be a tactical setback for the Chinese network among the Kyrgyz elite, but Beijing is likely to adapt and work with the winner — just like Moscow.

Related article: [Kyrgyz Protesters Take Government House, Free Ex-Leader After Post-Vote Clashes](#)

The United States, caught up in its pre-election drama, has far too much else to deal with to pay any attention, as does Europe. Central Asia's would-be leaders — Kazakhstan and Uzbekistan — have refrained from intervening, too. Kazakh President Kassym-Jomart Tokayev has said that the events of October 5 are Kyrgyzstan's internal affair, while Tashkent simply closed its border with its unstable neighbor. Both countries have plenty of their own problems right now, and unlike Russia, don't have the means to influence the situation.

Even if the various sides in the Kyrgyz conflict manage to come to an agreement on a peaceful way out of the current crisis, that will not mean an end to the standoff. And given the shrinking economy and likely continuation of the problems caused by the pandemic into 2021, bitterness among the population and warring groups of regional elites can only increase, and that's extremely dangerous.

The previous two revolutions have shown that power vacuums don't last long in Kyrgyzstan, but they can cause any local incidents to escalate into widespread bloodshed, just as we saw during the bloody clashes in Osh between Kyrgyz and ethnic Uzbeks soon after the 2010 revolution.

This article was first [published](#) by the Carnegie Moscow Center

The views expressed in opinion pieces do not necessarily reflect the position of The Moscow Times.

Original url:

<https://www.themoscowtimes.com/2020/10/08/the-scramble-for-power-in-kyrgyzstan-a71696>