

The End of the Annexation (Op-ed)

With the completion of the Kerch Bridge, the West has no hope of haggling over Crimea

By Andrei Kolesnikov

May 18, 2018



Kremlin.ru

At the opening of the Kerch Bridge this week, Vladimir Putin invoked religious terminology to describe the new construction. According to the Russian president, the 19-kilometer connection between Russia and the Crimean peninsula was "a miracle."

Russia's annexation of Crimea was given a historical and religious bent right from the start. In a <u>speech</u> in the spring of 2014 to both chambers of parliament after the disputed referendum on Crimea joining Russia, the president spoke of the peninsula as if it were a holy place for Russians.

In a way, the bridge is the culmination of Russian authoritarianism and the pinnacle of the

Putin regime. It is a symbol of the historic reunification of the Russian people.

At its opening on Tuesday, Putin was presented as the common man: Dressed in jeans, he hopped behind the wheel of a KamAZ truck to make the very first crossing over the bridge. You could say he was earthly, just as people said about Lenin in Soviet times. At the same time, however, Putin was synonymous with Russia and its flag, the epicenter of all patriotic rituals.

But the bridge is also a symbol of oligarchic capitalism. It was built by a construction company owned by one of Putin's closest cronies, his childhood judo partner Arkady Rotenberg. The truck that the president drove was made at an enterprise belonging to the empire of another oligarch crony, Sergei Chemezov.

Related article: Russia's Grand Project to Build 'Putin's Bridge' to Crimea, in Photos

According to the famous idiom, Russia's two eternal problems are fools and roads. With the opening of the Kerch Bridge, Putin has shown he can solve both.

In terms of infrastructure, Russia is not the most advanced country, to put it mildly. And yet here we have a marvel of engineering (though Dutch technology was used for the bridge's supports, which may yet become the subject of an <u>investigation</u> under Western sanctions legislation).

And there are no fools here: The bridge solves the problem of Crimea's isolation from Russia. It should boost the number of tourists visiting the peninsula. But, most importantly, it is proof of Russia's capabilities to the world and its authorities' soft power at home.

Unsurprisingly, there was a lot of pomp and almost hysterical levels of patriotism surrounding the opening, ranging from advertisements for the Crimean bridge in Moscow's historic shopping center on Red Square to images of the bridge on Moscow's metro tickets.

Of course, the miracle has come at a price. Almost \$4 billion was spent on the construction of the bridge itself, and that is just the beginning of the enormous investment which is being made to boost national pride.

The economically isolated peninsula has become something of a black hole for the federal budget. Its two federal subjects — the republic of Crimea and the city of Sevastopol, where Russia has a naval base — are among the subjects which receive most state funding in Russia.

Related article: Putin Inaugurates Russia's Bridge to Crimea with Truck Ride

Statistics analyzed by economist Natalya Zubarevich show that state investment last year more than doubled in Crimea as a whole and grew by 65 percent in Sevastopol. In all, a quarter of investment from the federal budget is connected one way or another with Crimea.

Meanwhile, real incomes fell in 65 of Russia's 85 regions by an average of 1.1 percent. Yet Crimeans' incomes grew by 13 percent last year.

Crimea and Sevastopol received colossal financial support motivated by what is now generally

referred to as "geopolitical reasons." The bridge is both a part of that support and its symbol. It is a sign of yet another victory by Russia — that is, Putin — and one that stands out all the more for taking place so close to hostile Ukraine and its Western supporters.

Finally, it is also the conclusion of Crimea's incorporation into Russia, both physically and politically. Any haggling over on what terms Russia might return Crimea to Ukraine is now definitively null and void.

Andrei Kolesnikov is a senior associate and the chair of the Russian Domestic Politics and Political Institutions Program at the Carnegie Moscow Center. The views expressed in opinion pieces do not necessarily reflect the position of The Moscow Times.

The views expressed in opinion pieces do not necessarily reflect the position of The Moscow Times.

Original url: https://www.themoscowtimes.com/2018/05/18/the-end-of-the-annexation-opinion-a61495